

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Brodie
Given Name	Samantha
Person ID	1285888
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Include files	SamanthaBrodie.pdf
Family Name	Brodie
Given Name	Samantha
Person ID	1285888
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I understand the need for more housing however, I do not agree that our greenbelt land in Irlam should be sacrificed for it. If this is approved then that opens the floodgates for the rest of our precious moss to be destroyed in the future at the hands of Peel Holdings or other greedy developers. There are so many unoccupied properties in the area standing idle. Why can't you compulsory purchase these? Any houses built on greenbelt will no doubt be unaffordable to the young families you talk of due to the cost of building on peat.</p> <p>Not to mention the non-existent infrastructure to support these new houses. Our roads are a mess as it is, schools are already full and God help you if you want a doctor's appointment. Irlam and Cadishead are trapped between 2 major motorways & have to live with that god-awful money pit known as the AJ Bell stadium which on match days leaves us trapped again!</p> <p>You have mentioned that you will encourage greater use of public transport for the occupants of these new houses, do you honestly believe that someone purchasing a 200k-400k house is going to get the bus to Tesco for their weekly shop?! I don't think so.</p> <p>We have a nice balance here of country side on our doorstep, a place to escape for a short while, which during the pandemic has been a godsend to so many.</p> <p>I was brought up on Irlam Moss, my father was rhubarb farmer, although my father passed away when I was young and we had to move, the farm still exists and I stable my horse there. I regularly ride around the moss to avoid the busy roads, so that would be part of my ride cut off. Not just for me but for the many other horse riders up there too.</p> <p>Also if you give in to Peel and allow them to build unsightly warehouses on the boysnape golf course that's more greenbelt taken and destroyed. So</p>

	<p>when you're stating the small percentage of greenbelt proposed for housing, I highly doubt that's also included is it?</p> <p>If I wanted to live in a concrete jungle I would move to one. Irlam and Cadishead will become a place where no-one will want to live due to congestion and lack of infrastructure and current residents will be stuck as their houses will go down in value and be unable to sell. But we, the community do not appear to matter to Salford Council only developers waving wads of cash at them. That's why Peel Holdings practically rule the roost at SCC & have already built warehouses although the agreement was that the railway line should be built first?</p> <p>As for the jobs they were supposed to bring to the local area, I don't know one person from Irlam or Cadishead that works there, all appear to be from out of the area??</p> <p>Quite frankly, I think your "vision" is deluded and is swayed by developers waving a cheque book at you.</p> <p>I wonder exactly how many of all these proposed houses will be genuinely affordable for the likes of a young family earning 30k each a year, trying to get on the property ladder??</p>
Family Name	Brodie
Given Name	Samantha
Person ID	1285888
Title	JPA 28: North of Irlam Station
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I understand the need for more housing however, I do not agree that our greenbelt land in Irlam should be sacrificed for it. If this is approved then that opens the floodgates for the rest of our precious moss to be destroyed in the future at the hands of Peel Holdings or other greedy developers. There are so many unoccupied properties in the area standing idle. Why can't you compulsorily purchase these? Any houses built on greenbelt will no doubt be unaffordable to the young families you talk of due to the cost of building on peat.</p> <p>Not to mention the non-existent infrastructure to support these new houses. Our roads are a mess as it is, schools are already full and God help you if you want a doctor's appointment. Irlam and Cadishead are trapped between 2 major motorways & have to live with that god-awful money pit known as the AJ Bell stadium which on match days leaves us trapped again!</p> <p>You have mentioned that you will encourage greater use of public transport for the occupants of these new houses, do you honestly believe that someone purchasing a 200k-400k house is going to get the bus to Tesco for their weekly shop?! I don't think so.</p>

We have a nice balance here of country side on our doorstep, a place to escape for a short while, which during the pandemic has been a godsend to so many.

I was brought up on Irlam moss, my father was rhubarb farmer, although my father passed away when I was young and we had to move, the farm still exists and I stable my horse there. I regularly ride around the moss to avoid the busy roads, so that would be part of my ride cut off. Not just for me but for the many other horse riders up there too.

Also if you give in to Peel and allow them to build unsightly warehouses on the boysnope golf course that's more greenbelt taken and destroyed. So when you're stating the small percentage of greenbelt proposed for housing, I highly doubt that's also included is it?

If I wanted to live in a concrete jungle I would move to one. Irlam and Cadishead will become a place where no-one will want to live due to congestion and lack of infrastructure and current residents will be stuck as their houses will go down in value and be unable to sell. But we, the community do not appear to matter to Salford Council only developers waving wads of cash at them. That's why Peel Holdings practically rule the roost at SCC & have already built warehouses although the agreement was that the railway line should be built first?

As for the jobs they were supposed to bring to the local area, I don't know one person from Irlam or Cadishead that works there, all appear to be from out of the area??

Quite frankly, I think your "vision" is deluded and is swayed by developers waving a cheque book at you.

I wonder exactly how many of all these proposed houses will be genuinely affordable for the likes of a young family earning 30k each a year, trying to get on the property ladder??

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.

DONT BUILD ON OUR GREENBELT.
SIMPLE!

Family Name

Brodie

Given Name

Samantha

Person ID

1285888

Title

JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation

Type

Web

Soundness - Positively prepared?

Unsound

Soundness - Justified?

Unsound

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?

Unsound

Soundness - Effective?

Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?

No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
---	----